

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/006,516 | 10/006,516 12/03/2001 | | Kuang Chi | 01-51 | 8011 | |
| 29416 | 7590 | 08/23/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | NDUCTOR CORE | GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN | | | |
| 5555 NE MC HILLSBORG | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2637 | | |
| | -, | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | | | Sp | | | |
|--|---|--|------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/006,516 | CHI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Qutub Ghulamali | 2637 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence addres | SS | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY | VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N | AONTH(S) FROM | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu | inication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M | lay 2005. | | | | | |
| , | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | | erits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,26 and 27</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-24 and 28-33</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | epted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | I | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form PTO-1 | 152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| • • | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies no | t received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | _ | o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 | 2) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Remarks filed on 05/26/2005.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments/remarks filed 05/26/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response to Applicant's argument, regarding the use of Aung et al (US Pub. 2003/0212930) in the rejection of claim 22, follows: Applicant states "Nowhere in this passage (or elsewhere in Aung) is there any suggestion that state machine 162 is programmable". However, the examiner respectfully would like to draw applicants attention to the abstract in Aung where Aung discloses "a programmable logic device is augmented with programmable clock data recovery circuit". Furthermore, as stated in the previous office action, Aung does disclose a clock data recovery circuit, wherein the digital filter includes at least one reloadable register (multi stage shift register 200) (figs. 1-5) operable to store a programmable value for comparison (110, col. 3, sec 0044) with a value derived from the up and down signals and a controller responsive to the comparison and operable to generate the FWD and BWD signals (col. 5, sections 0060, 0061). Also refer to col. 8, section 0085 and col. 9, sections 0088, 0089, wherein Aung discloses values as programmable.
- 3. Regarding applicants remarks with reference to claims 28 and 32, regarding incorrectly equating predefined value with a programmable value, the predefined value cannot be construed

Art Unit: 2637

to mean fixed value because the digital filter is programmable to handle the various signals such as sel_early and change_phase signals as disclosed by Anderson, see col. 3, lines 15-20. For this reason and the reasons stated in the previous office action mailed 3/01/05 and reiterated herein, the rejections of the claims are maintained. The response to the newly added claim 33 is enclosed with this office action. The newly added claim 33 has been rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 22-24 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (US Patent 6,122,336) in view of Aung et al (US Pub. 2003/0212930).

Regarding claims 22 and 33, Anderson discloses a Digital clock recovery circuit for generating a recovered clock signal comprising:

a phase detector (fig. 2, element 202) operable to compare a serial data input (SDIN) with a recovered clock signal (SCLK) and to generate in response up and down signals (col. 3, lines 9-13);

a phase selector (206) operable to select a clock signal as the recovered clock signal (SCLK)

from a plurality of given clock signals (2N clock) in response to FWD (forward) and BWD

(backward) signals (col. 3, lines 11-20); and

Art Unit: 2637

a digital filter (204) coupled between the phase detector (202) and the phase selector (206), the digital filter (204) operable to generate the FWD and BWD signals for the phase selector in response to the up and down signals (212, 214) received from the phase detector (202) (col. 3 lines 15-30). However, Anderson is silent regarding the specific details of the digital filter. In the same field of endeavor, Aung discloses a clock data recovery circuit, wherein the digital filter includes at least one reloadable register (multi stage shift register 200) (figs. 1-5) operable to store a programmable value (bandwidth parameter) for comparison (110; col. 3, section 0044) with a value derived from the up and down signals and a controller responsive to the comparison and operable to generate the FWD and BWD signals (col. 5, sections 0060, 0061). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use shift registers to store programmable values for comparison with a derived value from the up and down signals to generate signals as taught by Aung in the clock recovery circuit of Anderson so as create perfect synchronism with the clock signal information embedded in the CDR. In addition for example, programmable logic devices with registers are well known in the art of electronics and therefore obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art regarding their use in storing data.

Regarding claim 23, Anderson and Aung in combination disclose every feature of the claimed invention in claim 22. However, Aung further discloses the DPLL is part of a data recovery circuit within a SERDES (serializer/deserializer) transceiver (fig. 10, elements 340b, 60b).

Regarding claim 24, Anderson and Aung in combination disclose every feature of the claimed invention in claim 22. Aung, however, further discloses (fig. 4), a phase interpolator

Art Unit: 2637

(162) coupled to a multiplexer (190) responsive to the FWD and BWD signals, the multiplexer operable to receive a plurality of given clock signals having different phases as inputs and to select each of at least two clock signals as one of the given clock signals as outputs, the phase interpolator operable to generate the recovered clock signal (SCLK) having a phase that is phase interpolated between the phases of the at least two selected clock signals (col. 4, sections 0052, 0053, 0054).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (US Patent 6,122,336).

Regarding claims 28 and 32, Anderson discloses a digital clock recovery circuit comprising:

comparing a serial data input (SDIN) with a recovered clock signal (SCLK) and generating in response up and down signals (col. 3, lines 9-13);

deriving a value from the up and down signals (col. 3, lines 14-30);

comparing a programmable (predefined) value with the derived value (col. 3, lines 10-14); generating FWD (forward) (advance) and BWD (backward) (retard) signals in response to the comparison of the programmable value and derived value (col. 3, lines 20-30); and

Art Unit: 2637

selecting a clock signal as the recovered clock signal (SCLK) from a plurality of given clock signals in response to the FWD and BWD signals (col. 3, lines 28-30).

Regarding claim 29, Anderson discloses (fig. 2), selecting at least two clock signals from a plurality of given clock signals (abstract; 2N clocks) having different phases in response to the FWD and BWD signals (fig. 4, col. 3, lines 66-67; col. 4, lines 1-2); and generating the recovered clock signal (SCLK) having a phase that is phase interpolated between the phases of the at least two selected clock signals (col. 4, lines 2-11).

Regarding claims 30 and 31, Anderson discloses deriving a value from the up and down signals comprises generating a value representing a difference and sum between counts of up and down signals pulses (col. 4, lines 55-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 1-21, 26-27 allowed.

Reasons for allowance

10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With reference to claims 1, 8, 15, the prior art fails to teach or suggest, alone or in combination a digital filter of a DPLL (Digital Phase locked loop) comprising:

Art Unit: 2637

a first reloadable register portion for storing a TBW (total bandwidth) value, wherein said first reloadable register portion is capable of being coupled to a first port for inputting said TBW value that is programmed into said first reloadable register portion through said first port; a second reloadable register portion for storing a DBW (differential bandwidth) value, wherein said second reloadable register portion is capable of being coupled to a second port for inputting said DBW value that is programmed into said second reloadable register portion through said second port;

an up_counter for generating an UP_CNT value by counting up each UP signal pulse generated by a phase transition detector when a first phase of a SDTN (serial data input) signal leads a second phase of a current ACLK (recovered clock) signal generated by a phase selector; a down counter for generating a DOWN_CNT value by counting up each DOWN signal pulse generated by said phase transition detector when said first phase of said SDTN (serial data input) signal lags said second phase of said current ACLK (recovered clock) signal; an adder for adding said UP_CNT value and said DOWN_CNT value to generate a SUM value; a subtractor for generating a DELTA value that is the difference between said UP_CNT value and said DOWN_CNT value;

a delta comparator for asserting a LTP (larger than positive) signal if the magnitude of said DELTA value is greater than said DBW value and if said DOWN_CNT value is greater than said UP_CNT value, and for asserting a STN (small than negative) signal if the magnitude of said DELTA value is greater than said DBW value and if said UP_CNT value is greater than said DOWN_CNT value;

Art Unit: 2637

a sum comparator for asserting a WE (write enable) signal when said SUM value is greater than said TBW value; and

a phase select controller for asserting a FWD (forward) signal if said LTP signal is asserted when said WE signal is asserted or for asserting a BWD (backward) signal if said STN signal is asserted when said WE signal is asserted; wherein said phase selector selects another clock signal having a leading phase from said current ACLK signal as a new ACLK (recovered clock) signal when said FWD signal is asserted;

and wherein said phase selector selects another clock signal having a lagging phase from said current ACLK signal as said new ACLK (recovered clock) signal when said BWD signal is asserted;

and wherein said phase selector selects said current ACLK signal to remain as said new ACLK (recovered clock) signal if said FWD signal and said BWD signal are not asserted when said WE signal is asserted.

Such limitations as recited in the independent claims 1, 8 and 15, are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by the prior art.

Regarding claim 26, the prior art fails to teach or suggest, alone or in combination, a digital filter comprising:

at least two reloadable registers, each operable to store a programmable value;

a subtractor operable to generate a value representing a difference between counts of received up and down signal pulses;

an adder operable to generate a value representing a sum of counts of the received up and down signal pulses;

Art Unit: 2637

a first comparator coupled to a first reloadable register and to the subtractor and operable to generate a signal based on comparison of the first register's programmable value and the difference value;

a second comparator coupled to a second reloadable register and to the adder and operable to generate a signal based on comparison of the second register's programmable value and the sum value; and

a controller responsive to the signals generated by the first and second comparators and operable to generate FWD and BWD signals.

Such limitations as recited in the independent claim 26, is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by the prior art.

11. Claims 2-7, 9-14, 16-21, and 27 are allowed by virtue of their dependency to claims highlighted above.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patents:

Fraisse (US Patent 6,041,080) shows a signal processing system receives and mixes a plurality of analog signals.

Saitoh et al (US Patent 5,604,775) discloses a digital phase looked loop with coarse delay line and phase detector delivers clock pulses.

Art Unit: 2637

Fisher et al (US Pub. No. 2002/0027886) shows a method for controlling data sample clocking of nodes in a frame based communications network.

Mallory (US Pub. No. 2002/0042836) discloses a method for enhancing network transmission in a communications system.

Shin (US 5,991,341) shows TCM decoder of HDTV receiver with clock and data recovery portion.

Publications:

Romdhane, M.S.B.; Madisetti, V.K.; "LMSGEN: a prototyping environment for programmable adaptive digital filters in VLSI" VLSI Signal Processing, VII, 1994., [Workshop on], 26-28 Oct. 1994 Pages 33 – 42.

Kwan, H.K.; "Tunable and variable passive digital filters for multimedia signal processing"

Intelligent Multimedia, Video and Speech Processing, 2001. Proceedings of 2001 International Symposium on, 2-4 May 2001 Pages 229 – 232.

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2637

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QG. August 9, 2005.

JAY K. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ja followin

Page 11